| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--|---|
| | 10/076,170 | LIU ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| · | Jeffrey T. Barton | 1753 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Rejected</u> | | |
| (1) <u>Jeffrey T. Barton</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Wen Liu</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 8 July 2005 | Time: <u>1 pm</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | applicant's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| | | • |
| Claims discussed: | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE C Examiner called Mr. Liu to inquire whether a response to t that the case had been abandoned. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separation of all issues. A brief su | n. The examiner will provide a warate record of the substance of | vritten summary of the substance the interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| | | |
| • | | · . |
| | | |
| | | |
| MRD. | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (App | olicant/Applicant's Representative | e Signature – if appropriate) |